

CARBON SEQUESTRATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

**Office of Science
Office of Fossil Energy
U.S. Department of Energy**

December 1999

Dave Reichle, ORNL
John Houghton, DOE

Bob Kane, DOE
Jim Ekmann, FETC

Sally Benson, LBNL
John Clarke, PNNL
Roger Dahlman, DOE
George Hendrey, BNL
Howard Herzog, MIT
Jennie Hunter-Cevera, LBNL
Gary Jacobs, ORNL
Rod Judkins, ORNL

Joan Ogden, Princeton
Anna Palmisano, DOE
Robert Socolow, Princeton
John Stringer, EPRI
Terry Surles, LLNL
Alan Wolsky, ANL
Nicholas Woodward, DOE
Michael York, DOE

This report is available on the world wide web at
www.ornl.gov/carbon_sequestration/

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Reports are available to the public from the following source.

National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
Telephone 703-605-6000 (1-800-553-6847)
TDD 703-487-4639
Fax 703-605-6900
E-mail orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
Web site www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm

Reports are available to U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) employees, DOE contractors, Energy Technology Data Exchange (ETDE) representatives, and International Nuclear Information System (INIS) representatives from the following source.

Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Telephone 865-576-8401
Fax 865-576-5728
E-mail reports@adonis.osti.gov
Web site www.osti.gov/products/sources.html

Reports produced after January 1, 1996, are generally available via the DOE Information Bridge.

Web site www.doe.gov/bridge

CONTENTS

FIGURES	ix
TABLES	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND INITIALISMS	xv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xvii
1. CARBON SEQUESTRATION: A THIRD APPROACH TO CARBON MANAGEMENT	1-1
1.1 Carbon Management	1-1
1.1.1 The Challenge	1-1
1.1.2 The Vision	1-2
1.1.3 Three Approaches to Carbon Management	1-2
1.1.4 What is Carbon Sequestration?	1-3
1.1.5 Necessary Characteristics for Carbon Sequestration Systems	1-4
1.2 The Global and the Fossil Fuel Carbon Cycles	1-4
1.2.1 The Global Carbon Cycle	1-4
1.2.2 The Fossil Fuel Cycle	1-5
1.3 Approach and Scope of This Report	1-6
1.4 Toward Development of a Carbon Sequestration Road Map	1-8
1.4.1 Foundations for an Expanded National Program in Carbon Sequestration	1-8
1.4.2 The Need for a National R&D Plan for Carbon Sequestration	1-10
1.5 End Notes	1-11
1.6 References	1-12
1.7 Acknowledgments	1-13
2. SEPARATION AND CAPTURE OF CARBON DIOXIDE	2-1
2.1 Characterization of Carbon Flows (Source Terms)	2-1
2.2 Current and Potential Science and Technology Requirements	2-4
2.3 Current and Potential Science and Technology Capabilities	2-4
2.3.1 Chemical and Physical Absorption	2-4
2.3.2 Physical and Chemical Adsorption	2-6
2.3.3 Low-temperature Distillation	2-7
2.3.4 Gas-Separation Membranes	2-8
2.4 Science and Technology Gaps	2-9
2.4.1 Chemical and Physical Absorption	2-9
2.4.2 Physical and Chemical Adsorption	2-10
2.4.3 Low-Temperature Distillation	2-11
2.4.4 Gas-Separation Membranes	2-11
2.4.5 Product Treatment and Conversion	2-12

2.4.6	Transportation	2-12
2.4.7	Advanced Concepts	2-12
2.5	Alignment of Requirements to Capabilities (R&D Road Map)	2-14
2.6	References	2-14
3.	OCEAN SEQUESTRATION	3-1
3.1	Direct Injection of CO ₂	3-3
3.1.1	Science and Technology Requirements	3-3
3.1.2	Current Scientific and Technological Capabilities	3-4
3.1.3	Science and Technology Gaps	3-8
3.1.4	Research and Development Plan	3-9
3.2	Enhancement of Natural Carbon Sequestration in the Ocean	3-9
3.2.1	Science and Technology Requirements	3-11
3.2.2	Current Science and Technology Capabilities	3-11
3.2.3	Science and Technology Gaps	3-12
3.2.4	Research and Development Plan	3-13
3.3	Longer-Term, Innovative Concepts for Ocean CO ₂ Sequestration	3-14
3.4	Conclusion	3-15
3.5	Acknowledgments	3-16
3.6	References	3-16
4.	CARBON SEQUESTRATION IN TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS	4-1
4.1	Terrestrial Ecosystems: Natural Biological Scrubbers	4-3
4.2	Potential for Carbon Sequestration	4-5
4.3	Current Capabilities	4-8
4.4	Terrestrial Ecosystem Science and Technology Road Map	4-10
4.4.1	Objectives	4-12
4.4.2	Strategies	4-15
4.4.3	Research and Development Needs	4-20
4.5	Summary	4-24
4.6	Acknowledgments	4-26
4.7	End Notes	4-26
4.8	References	4-27
5.	SEQUESTRATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE IN GEOLOGIC FORMATIONS	5-1
5.1	Sequestration in Geologic Formations Builds on a Strong Experience Base	5-1
5.1.1	Sequestration Mechanisms	5-1
5.1.2	Sources and Forms of CO ₂	5-3
5.1.3	Capacity of Geologic Formations Suitable for Sequestration	5-3
5.1.4	Drivers for R&D	5-3

5.2 Assessment of Current Capabilities and R&D Needs	5-5
5.2.1 Opportunities for CO ₂ Sequestration in Oil and Gas Formations	5-6
5.2.2 CO ₂ Sequestration in Brine Formations	5-8
5.2.3 Opportunities for CO ₂ Sequestration in Coal Formations	5-11
5.3 Cross-Cutting R&D Needs for Geologic Formations	5-13
5.3.1 CO ₂ Trapping Mechanisms	5-13
5.3.2 CO ₂ Waste Stream Characteristics	5-13
5.3.3 Formation Characterization	5-14
5.3.4 Injection, Drilling, and Well Completion Technology	5-16
5.3.5 Performance Assessment	5-16
5.3.6 Monitoring	5-16
5.3.7 Cross-Cutting Fundamental Research Needs	5-17
5.4 Advanced Concepts for Sequestration in Geologic Formations	5-18
5.5 Overall R&D Priorities	5-19
5.6 Works Consulted	5-20
6. ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL PROCESSES	6-1
6.1 Background and Rationale for Advanced Biological Processes to Sequester Carbon	6-1
6.2 Carbon Capture Technology Support	6-2
6.2.1 Current Science and Technology Capabilities	6-2
6.2.2 Science and Technology Requirements	6-3
6.2.3 Research Implementation	6-4
6.3 Sequestration in Reduced Carbon Compounds	6-5
6.3.1 Current Science and Technology Capabilities	6-5
6.3.2 Science and Technology Requirements	6-5
6.3.3 Research Implementation	6-6
6.4 Increasing Plant Productivity	6-8
6.4.1 Current Science and Technology Capabilities	6-9
6.4.2 Science and Technology Requirements	6-13
6.4.3 Research Implementation	6-13
6.5 Alternative Durable Materials	6-14
6.5.1 Current Science and Technology Capabilities	6-14
6.5.2 Science and Technology Requirements	6-15
6.5.3 Research Implementation	6-16
6.6 Summary and Conclusions	6-16
6.7 References	6-19
7. ADVANCED CHEMICAL APPROACHES TO SEQUESTRATION	7-1
7.1 Introduction	7-1
7.1.1 Introduction to the Problem and Solutions	7-2
7.1.2 Potential Chemical Approaches to Sequestration	7-2
7.2 Chemical Processes for Sequestration	7-4
7.2.1 Inert Benign Long-Term Storage Forms	7-5
7.2.2 Products from Carbon Dioxide Utilization	7-8

7.3	Enabling Chemical Technologies	7-10
7.4	Summary	7-12
7.5	End Notes	7-14
7.6	References	7-14
8.	DEVELOPING AN EMERGING TECHNOLOGY ROAD MAP FOR CARBON CAPTURE AND SEQUESTRATION	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	A Carbon Capture and Sequestration System	8-1
8.3	Building an Emerging Technology Road Map	8-3
8.4	Building the Carbon Capture and Sequestration Road Map	8-4
8.5	Building the R&D Capacity	8-6
8.5.1	Advanced Sensors and Monitoring Systems	8-7
8.5.2	Carbon Processing Platforms	8-9
8.5.3	Biological Absorption Platforms	8-10
8.5.4	Engineered Injection Platforms	8-10
8.6	Next Steps	8-11
9.	STAKEHOLDER PERSPECTIVES: CARBON SEQUESTRATION STAKEHOLDERS WORKSHOP	9-1
9.1	Points Communicated to DOE at the Workshop	9-3
	Collaborative Programs	9-3
	Priority Setting	9-3
	A Systems Approach	9-4
9.2	A Radical Approach to Global Warming	9-5
	Reports from the Plenary Sessions and the Breakout Groups	9-8
	The Plenary Speakers	9-9
	International Perspectives	9-9
	Research Perspective	9-11
	Environmental Community Perspectives	9-13
	Energy Industry Perspective	9-14
	Reports from Workshop Breakout Sessions	9-17
	Carbon Separation and Capture	9-17
	Carbon Sequestration in the Oceans	9-20
	Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems	9-23
	Carbon Sequestration in Geologic Formations	9-26
	Advanced Concepts for Carbon Sequestration	9-30
10.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10-1
10.1	Findings	10-2
10.2	Recommendations	10-4
10.2.1	Beginning the R&D Program	10-4
10.2.2	Developing the Road Map	10-6
10.3	Principal Focus Area Recommendations	10-7
10.3.1	Separation and Capture of CO ₂	10-7
10.3.2	Ocean Sequestration	10-7
10.3.3	Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems	10-8

10.3.4 Sequestration in Geological Formations.....	10-9
10.3.5 Advanced Biological Processes	10-10
10.3.6 Advanced Chemical Approaches.....	10-11
10.4 References	10-11
Appendix A: CARBON SEQUESTRATION WORKING PAPER CONTRIBUTORS AND 1998 WORKSHOP ATTENDEES	A-1
Appendix B: DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF ECOSYSTEMS AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT NEEDS	B-1
Appendix C: ATTENDEES AT DOE WORKSHOP ON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION.....	C-1

FIGURES

1.1	One representation of the reductions in CO ₂ that would be necessary to reach atmospheric stabilization compares the IS92A (business as usual) scenario with a scenario (WRE550) that leads to stabilized atmospheric CO ₂ concentrations of 550 ppm	1-2
1.2	Human-induced changes in the global carbon cycle resulting from increases in the combustion of fossil fuels and changing land-use patterns	1-5
1.3	Carbon flows in the energy system and sources of emissions in the United States in 1995	1-6
1.4	Deploying an effective carbon sequestration system will require an integrated program of science, enabling technology, and advanced power systems—all dependent on better understanding of environmental carbon dynamics	1-7
2.1	Separation and capture R&D road map	2-13
3.1	Every year the ocean actively takes up one-third of our anthropogenic CO ₂ emissions	3-2
3.2	A schematic diagram of the biological pump	3-3
3.3	For injection of CO ₂ at depths of 1000 to 2000 m, it has been suggested that liquid CO ₂ be transported from shore through a pipeline for discharge from a manifold lying on the ocean bottom	3-5
3.4	Simulated distribution of carbon injected into the ocean at a depth of 1720 m off the coast of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, after 20 years of continuous injection, as computed by the three-dimensional ocean model of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	3-6
3.5	The multiple unit large-volume in situ filtration system (MULVFS) allows the precise determination of properties of particulate matter that is needed for a systematic survey of ocean carbon inventory and for the evaluation of ecosystem function	3-11
3.6	R&D road map for ocean sequestration of CO ₂	3-15

4.1	Overall system view of the science and technology road map for the terrestrial ecosystems	4-11
4.2	Detailed view of the system level showing the ecosystem categories that are part of the overall system	4-12
4.3	Detailed view of the objectives level showing the various components that feed into the three primary objectives that are described in equation (1)	4-13
4.4	Detailed view of the strategies level illustrating the options for which R&D will be required for effective implementation	4-16
4.5	Detailed view of the R&D needs level illustrating the fundamental R&D needed to support the development of carbon sequestration options for terrestrial ecosystems	4-21
5.1	Location of gas-producing areas in the United States	5-4
5.2	Location of deep saline aquifers in the United States	5-4
5.3	Location of coal-producing areas in the United States and power plants	5-5
5.4	Gravity segregation, viscous fingering, heterogeneity, and preferential flow through faulted cap rocks could influence CO ₂ migration in the subsurface	5-10
5.5	Comparative evaluation of the technological and scientific maturity of operational requirements for sequestering CO ₂ in geologic formations	5-15
5.6	Key elements of the R&D road map for sequestration of CO ₂ in geologic formations	5-19
6.1	Typical leaf anatomy in a C3 plant	6-10
6.2	Typical leaf anatomy in a C4 plant	6-10
6.3	Carbon fixation as it occurs via the Hatch-Slack pathway in C4 plants	6-11
6.4	Key elements of the R&D road map for advanced biological processes	6-17
7.1	Mixtures of gas clathrates have been found near coasts around the world	7-7
7.2	Paths to utilize CO ₂ in synthetic chemistry	7-8

7.3	A road map of needed research into advanced chemical approaches	7-11
8.1	The top-level diagram of a carbon capture and sequestration technology system showing the relationship to the fossil energy system	8-3
8.2	The structure of an emerging technology road map for carbon capture and sequestration	8-5
9.1	Emissions projection graph, and approaches a Texaco business unit may consider in managing greenhouse gas emissions	9-16
9.2	Example of methodology of displaying prioritization issues	9-19

TABLES

4.1	Global estimates of land area, net primary productivity (NPP), and carbon stocks in plant matter and soil for ecosystems of the world	4-4
4.2	The categorization of biomes used in this road-mapping exercise	4-6
5.1	Range of estimates for CO ₂ sequestration in U.S. geologic formations	5-5
5.2	R&D priorities for CO ₂ sequestration in oil and gas fields	5-9
5.3	R&D priorities for CO ₂ sequestration in aqueous formations	5-12
5.4	R&D priorities for CO ₂ sequestration in coal formations	5-14
6.1	Prioritization of advanced biological options	6-18
7.1	Thermodynamics of chemical/physical transformations involving CO ₂	7-4
7.2	Approaches to sequestration using chemical processes and examples of their use	7-13
8.1	System technology platforms	8-6
8.2	System component technologies	8-7
8.3	Science and technology capabilities	8-8
9.1	Sources of anthropogenic CO ₂ emissions	9-18
9.2	Example of proposed reporting of CO ₂ separation and capture costs (\$/ton)	9-18
9.3	Suggested program structure for geologic sequestration	9-29
9.4	Priority of cross-cutting technologies	9-29

ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND INITIALISMS

BER	Office of Biological and Environmental Research (DOE)
BES	Office of Basic Energy Sciences (DOE)
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
DEA	diethanolamine
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EOR	enhanced oil recovery
ESA	electrical swing adsorption
FACE	Free Air CO ₂ Enrichment
GHG	Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme
GtC	billion tonnes of atmospheric carbon
H ₂	hydrogen gas
HNLC	high-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (ocean waters)
IEA	International Energy Agency
IGCC	integrated gasification combined cycle
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
m	meter
MBARI	Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
MDEA	methyldiethanolamine
MEA	monoethanolamine
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MPa	million Pascal (a measure of pressure)
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NEE	net ecosystem exchange
NGO	nongovernmental organization
nm	nanometer
NO _x	oxides of nitrogen
NSF	National Science Foundation
OCMIP	Ocean Carbon-Cycle Model Intercomparison Project
OGCM	ocean general circulation model
PCAST	President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology
POC	particulate organic carbon
ppm	parts per million
PSA	pressure swing adsorption
R&D	research and development
ROV	remotely operated vehicle
SO _x	oxides of sulfur
TSA	thermal swing adsorption
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USGS	United States Geological Survey

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Predictions of global energy use in the next century suggest a continued increase in carbon emissions and rising concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere unless major changes are made in the way we produce and use energy—in particular, how we manage carbon. For example, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicts in its 1995 “business as usual” energy scenario that future global emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere will increase from 7.4 billion tonnes of carbon (GtC) per year in 1997 to approximately 26 GtC/year by 2100. IPCC also projects a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ concentration by the middle of next century and growing rates of increase beyond. Although the effects of increased CO₂ levels on global climate are uncertain, many scientists agree that a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations could have a variety of serious environmental consequences.

One way to manage carbon is to use energy more efficiently to reduce our need for a major energy and carbon source—fossil fuel combustion. Another way is to increase our use of low-carbon and carbon-free fuels and technologies (nuclear power and renewable sources such as solar energy, wind power, and biomass fuels). Both approaches are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and are not the focus of this report.

The third and newest way to manage carbon, capturing and securely storing carbon emitted from the global energy system (carbon sequestration), is truly radical in a technology context. The development of today’s fossil-energy-based system is rooted in the Industrial Revolution. For over 200 years, the development of energy technology has been focused on lowering costs through increased efficiency to support economic growth. Because of their abundance, availability, and high energy content, coal, oil, and natural gas have proved to be attractive energy sources to produce electricity, run industrial processes, propel transportation vehicles, and provide energy for residential and commercial applications. As fossil energy use increased and adverse environmental effects became apparent, energy technology also evolved to minimize them. However, all of this enormous technology development has assumed that the free venting of CO₂ to the atmosphere was environmentally harmless. Only recently has the increasing concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere been considered to represent a serious environmental problem. The consequence is that we have developed an intricate, tightly coupled energy system that has been optimized over 200 years for economy, efficiency, and environmental performance, but not for the capture and sequestration of its largest material effluent, CO₂.

The goal of this report is to identify key areas for research and development (R&D) that could lead to an understanding of the potential for future use of carbon sequestration as a major tool for managing carbon emissions. Under the leadership of DOE, researchers from universities, industry, other government agencies, and DOE national laboratories were brought together to develop the technical basis for conceiving a science and technology road map. That effort has resulted in this report, which develops much of the information needed for the road map.

This report identifies the R&D topics necessary to understand and develop critical options for the capture, transport, conversion, and sequestration of carbon. It addresses known sources of carbon (industrial sources, power plant flue gases, preprocessed fossil fuels before combustion); carbon forms for sequestration (CO₂, elemental carbon, and minerals that contain carbon); and options for sequestration sinks—oceans, geologic formations, soils and vegetation (see Chaps. 3 through 7).

THE ROAD MAP VISION AND GOALS

The vision for the road map is to

Possess the scientific understanding of carbon sequestration and develop to the point of deployment those options that ensure environmentally acceptable sequestration to reduce anthropogenic CO₂ emissions and/or atmospheric concentrations. The goal is to have the potential to sequester a significant fraction of 1 GtC/year in 2025 and 4 GtC/year in 2050.

The purpose of carbon sequestration is to keep anthropogenic carbon emissions from reaching the atmosphere by capturing them, isolating them, and diverting them to secure storage and/or to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere by various means and store it. Any viable system for sequestering carbon must be safe, environmentally benign, effective, and economical. In addition, it must be acceptable to the public.

Why is carbon sequestration important? Given the magnitude of carbon reductions needed to stabilize the atmosphere, capture and sequestration could be a major tool for reducing carbon emissions to the atmosphere from fossil fuels; in fact, sequestration may be essential for the continued large-scale use of fossil fuels. It will allow greater flexibility in the future primary energy supply. In addition, it could offer other benefits such as the manufacture of commercial products (e.g., construction materials and plastics); improved agricultural practices that could reduce soil erosion, conserve water, and increase the sustainability of food production; the restoration of wetlands, which would help preserve wildlife and protect estuaries; increased biodiversity; enhanced recovery of oil and methane (from coal beds); and the development of exportable technologies to help the U.S. economy.

THE GLOBAL CARBON CYCLE AND FOSSIL FUELS

Most anthropogenic (human-activity-related) emissions of carbon to the atmosphere result from combustion of fossil fuels for the economical production of energy. If the demand for energy continues to increase, it is possible that the only way that fossil fuels can be used for large-scale energy production is through the development and implementation of carbon capture and sequestration options.

Given the magnitude of carbon emission reductions needed to stabilize the atmospheric CO₂ concentration, multiple approaches to carbon management

(i.e., improved energy efficiency and clean energy systems) will be needed. All potentially important technical options should be explored.

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL NEEDS FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION

STRATEGIC ISSUES

Following are the general recommendations of the report addressing strategic issues regarding a comprehensive carbon sequestration program.

- Sequestration R&D could expand the world's future options for dealing with greenhouse gases.
- Many carbon sequestration options are particularly amenable to improving existing activities—such as CO₂ injection during secondary oil recovery—and often provide important secondary benefits, such as improving ecosystems during reforestation.
- Some carbon sequestration options, such as improved agricultural practices, are available practically immediately. Examining ongoing, field-scale sequestration investigations in terrestrial, geological, and ocean systems can provide critical experience for designing the necessary environmental research programs.
- Some carbon sequestration options that have limited capacity or relatively short carbon residence times could nonetheless make important near-term contributions during a transition to other longer-term carbon management options. Other carbon sequestration options can provide significant long-term contributions.
- For carbon sequestration to be a viable option, it needs to be safe, predictable, reliable, measurable, and verifiable; and it needs to be competitive with other carbon management options, such as energy-efficient systems and decarbonized energy technologies.
- Carbon sequestration is an immature field, so multiple fundamental R&D approaches are warranted and significant breakthroughs can be expected. The federal government is an appropriate sponsor of carbon sequestration R&D.
- Integrated analyses of the carbon sequestration system should be periodically updated to evaluate the potential contributions, costs, and benefits of various carbon sequestration options.
- The information from the R&D program should be provided to policy makers to aid them in developing policy and selecting the most efficient and effective solutions to the issues of climate change.

Separation and Capture of CO₂ from the Energy System

Several currently available technologies can be used to separate and capture CO₂ from fossil-fueled power plant flue gases; from the effluents of industrial processes such as iron, steel, and cement production; and from hydrogen production by reforming of natural gas. CO₂ can be absorbed from gas streams by contact with amine-based solvents or cold methanol. It can be removed by adsorption on activated carbon or other materials or by passing the gas stream through special membranes. Commercial hydrogen production via reforming of natural gas involves separating H₂ from the reformat gases (a mixture of unreacted methane

Geologic or ocean storage sequestration options that use a concentrated source of CO₂ require low-cost carbon separation and capture techniques to be viable options. The scale of the industrial system required to process gigatonnes of carbon warrants investigation into new solvents, adsorbents, and membrane separation devices for either pre- or post-combustion separation.

and other hydrocarbons, CO, CO₂, and water) by adsorption processes such as pressure swing adsorption (PSA). Should fuels decarbonization (e.g., reforming of natural gas to produce H₂) become part of a CO₂ mitigation strategy, the PSA

technology could logically be extended to CO₂ separation and capture.

Advanced methods might include adsorbing CO₂ on zeolites or carbon-bonded activated carbon fibers and separating it from flue gases or process gases from industrial operations using inorganic membranes. The use of commercial CO₂-removing processes that scrub gases with amine-based solvents is projected to raise the cost of producing electrical power from coal-fired power plants using existing technology. Capture and sequestration could increase the cost of electrical power generation from coal by as much as 20 to 30 mills/kWh. Thus although CO₂ is separated routinely, dramatic improvements are necessary to make the process economical (Chap. 2). Techniques are needed to transform the captured CO₂ into materials that (1) can be economically and safely transported and sequestered for a long time or (2) can be used to make commercial products (e.g., construction materials) that could offset the costs of separation and capture.

There are numerous options for the separation and capture of CO₂, and many of these are commercially available. However, none has been applied at the scale required as part of a CO₂ emissions mitigation strategy, nor has any method been demonstrated for all the anthropogenic sources considered in this R&D map. Many issues remain regarding the ability to separate and capture CO₂ from anthropogenic sources on the scale required, and to meet the cost, safety, and environmental requirements for separation and capture. In our assessment of the scientific and technological gaps between the requirements for CO₂ separation and capture and the capabilities to meet these requirements, many explicit and specific R&D needs were identified.

- A science-based and applications-oriented R&D program is needed to establish the efficacy of current and novel CO₂ separation processes as important contributors to carbon emissions mitigation. Important elements of such a program include the evaluation, improvement, and development of chemical and physical absorption solvents, chemical and physical adsorbents, membrane separation devices with selectivity and specificity for CO₂-containing streams, molecular and kinetic modeling of the materials and processes, and laboratory-scale testing of the selected processes.
- Field tests are needed of promising new CO₂ separation and capture options in small bypass streams at large point sources of CO₂, such as natural gas wells and hydrogen production plants.

The ocean provides a large potential reservoir. Active experiments are already under way in iron fertilization and other tests of enhanced marine biological sequestration, as well as deep CO₂ injection. Improvements in understanding marine systems will be needed before implementation of major marine sequestration campaigns.

Sequestration in the Oceans

The ocean represents a large potential sink for sequestration of anthropogenic CO₂ (Chap. 3). Two methods are proposed for the sequestration of carbon

in the ocean: (1) A relatively pure CO₂ stream that has been generated by a power plant, decarbonized fuel production system, or industrial facility could be injected directly into the ocean. The injected CO₂ may become trapped in ocean sediments or ice-like solids, called hydrates. (2) The net oceanic uptake from the atmosphere could be enhanced through a method such as iron fertilization. These approaches will require better understanding of marine ecosystems to enhance the effectiveness of applications and avoid undesirable consequences.

- Field experiments of CO₂ injection into the ocean are needed to study the physical/chemical behavior of the released CO₂ and its potential for ecological impact.
- Ocean general circulation models need to be improved and used to determine the best locations and depths for CO₂ injection and to determine the long-term fate of CO₂ injected into the ocean.
- The effect of fertilization of surface waters on the increase of carbon sequestered in the deep ocean needs to be determined, and the potential ecological consequences on the structure and function of marine ecosystems and on natural biogeochemical cycling in the ocean need to be studied.
- New innovative concepts for sequestering CO₂ in the ocean need to be identified and developed.

Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems

Terrestrial ecosystems, which are made up of vegetation and soils containing microbial and invertebrate communities, sequester CO₂ directly from the atmosphere (Chap. 4). The terrestrial ecosystem is essentially a huge

The terrestrial biosphere is a large and accessible reservoir for sequestering CO₂ that is already present in the atmosphere. Natural carbon fluxes are huge, so even small forced changes resulting from R&D advances would be very significant. It will be important to address the consequences of altering the natural flux.

natural biological scrubber for CO₂ from all fossil fuel emissions sources, such as automobiles, power plants, and industrial facilities. Computer models estimate that terrestrial ecosystems—forests, vegetation, soils, farm crops, pastures, tundras, and wetlands—have a net carbon accumulation of about one-fourth

(1.5 to 2 GtC) of the 7.4 GtC emitted annually into the atmosphere by fossil fuel combustion and land use changes. If there were an increased focus on practices to enhance the natural carbon cycle, the potential for terrestrial ecosystems to remove and sequester more carbon from the atmosphere could be increased by, for example, improving agricultural cultivation practices to reduce oxidation of soil carbon and enhancing soil texture to trap more carbon, and protecting wetlands.

- The terrestrial ecosystem is a major biological scrubber for atmospheric CO₂ (present net carbon sequestration is ~2 GtC/year) that can be significantly increased by careful manipulation over the next 25 years to provide a critical “bridging technology” while other carbon management options are developed. Carbon sequestration could conceivably be increased by several gigatonnes per year beyond the natural rate of 2 GtC per year, but that may imply intensive management and/or manipulation of a significant fraction of the globe’s biomass. However, those potentials do not yet include a total accounting of economic and energy costs to achieve these levels. Ecosystem protection is important and may reduce or prevent loss of carbon currently stored in the terrestrial biosphere. The focus for research, however, should be on increasing the rate of long-term storage in soils in managed systems.
- Research on four key interrelated R&D topics is needed to meet goals for carbon sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems:
 - Increase understanding of ecosystem structure and function directed toward carbon allocation and partitioning, nutrient cycling, plant and microbial biotechnology, molecular genetics, and functional genomics.
 - Improve measurement of gross carbon fluxes and dynamic carbon inventories through improvements to existing methods and through development of new instrumentation for in situ, nondestructive below-ground observation and remote sensing to allow aboveground biomass measurement, verification, and monitoring of carbon stocks.
 - Implement scientific principles into tools such as irrigation methods, efficient nutrient delivery systems, increased energy efficiency in agriculture and forestry, and increased byproduct use.
 - Assess ecosystem behavior in response to carbon sequestration strategies in an environment of a changing climate, using a suite of models (including life cycle analysis) to integrate across scales from physiological processes to regional ecosystem management practices.
- Field-scale experiments in large-scale ecosystems will be necessary to understanding both physiological and geochemical processes regulating carbon sequestration based upon integrative ecosystem models. Such carbon sequestration experiments are needed to provide proof-of-principle testing of new sequestration concepts and integration of sequestration science and engineering principles.

Sequestration in Geologic Formations

Three principal types of geologic formations are widespread and have the potential for sequestering large amounts of CO₂. They are active and uneconomical oil and gas reservoirs, aqueous formations, and deep and

Limited geological sequestration is being practiced today, but it is not yet possible to predict with confidence storage volumes and integrity over long time periods. Many important issues must be addressed to reduce costs, ensure safety, and gain public acceptance.

unmineable coal formations. About 70 oil fields worldwide use injected CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery. CO₂ sequestration is already being practiced in a sub-seabed reservoir in the North Sea of Norway. The United States has sufficient capacity, diversity, and broad geographic distribution of potential reservoirs to use geologic sequestration in the near term (Chap. 5). The primary uncertainty is the effectiveness of storing CO₂ in geological formations—how easily CO₂ can be injected and how long it will remain. Only through experience will enough knowledge be gained to assess the ultimate sequestration potential of geologic formations.

- Fundamental and applied research is needed to improve the ability to understand, predict, and monitor the performance of sequestration in oil, gas, aqueous, and coal formations. Elements of such a program include multiphase flow in heterogeneous and deformable media; phase behavior; CO₂ dissolution and reaction kinetics, micromechanics and deformation modeling; coupled hydrologic-chemical-mechanical-thermal modeling; and high-resolution geophysical imaging. Advanced concepts should be included, such as enhancement of mineral trapping with catalysts or other chemical additives, sequestration in composite geologic formations, microbial conversion of CO₂ to methane, rejuvenation of depleted oil reservoirs, and CO₂-enhanced methane hydrate production.
- A nationwide assessment is needed to determine the location and capacity of the geologic formations available for sequestration of CO₂ from each of the major power-generating regions of the United States. Screening criteria for choosing suitable options and assessing capacity must be developed in partnership with industry, the scientific community, and public and regulatory oversight agencies.
- Pilot-scale field tests of CO₂ sequestration should be initiated to develop cost and performance data and to help prioritize future R&D needs. The tests must be designed and conducted with sufficient monitoring, modeling, and performance assessment to enable quantitative evaluation of the processes responsible for geologic sequestration. Pilot testing will lay the groundwork for collaboration with industrial partners on full-scale demonstration projects.

Advanced Biological Processes

Advanced biological processes (Chap. 6) could be developed and implemented to limit emissions and capture and sequester carbon both from relatively concentrated utility and industrial

Advanced biological techniques may produce options too radical to predict. Some biologic processes can sequester carbon products at low cost. New carbon sequestration options could become feasible and others could be improved using advanced biological techniques.

combustion gases, and from dispersed point sources. Bacteria and other organisms could be used to remove carbon from fuels and to recycle carbon from man-made waste streams. In addition, crop wastes and dedicated crops could be used as feedstocks for biological and chemical conversion processes to manufacture fuels and chemicals. Advanced crop species and cultivation practices could be designed to increase the uptake of atmospheric CO₂ by terrestrial and aquatic biomass and decrease CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere from soils and terrestrial and aquatic biomass.

The 21st Century has been referred to as the “Century for Biology.” Indeed, many new molecular tools have been developed that will aid in new discoveries and assist in providing solutions to key problems facing humankind and the planet. The difference that advanced biological techniques can make will be evident when they are integrated with land, subsurface, and ocean management practices. The following actions will promote cost-effective and stable biological approaches to carbon sequestration.

- Research should be initiated on the genetic and protein engineering of plants, animals, and microorganisms to address improved metabolic functions that can enhance, improve, or optimize carbon management via carbon capture technology, sequestration in reduced carbon compounds, use in alternative durable materials, and improved productivity.
- The objectives and goals of the advanced biological research should be linked to those specific problems and issues outlined for carbon sequestration in geological formations, oceans, and soils and vegetation so that an integrated research approach can elucidate carbon sequestration at the molecular, organism, and ecosystem levels.
- Short-, mid-, and long-term goals in advanced biological research should be instituted so that scale-up issues, genetic stability in natural settings, and efficacy in the field can be assessed.

Advanced Concepts

Many of the sequestration technologies described in this document depend on chemistry. Improved methods of separation, transport, and storage of CO₂ will benefit from research on and development of advanced chemical

Most carbon sequestration options rely on chemical reactions to achieve benign, stable, and inert products. Studies to enhance the relevant chemistry almost certainly will reduce the costs or increase the effectiveness of these options. Results from R&D on advanced chemical topics also may make it possible to generate useful and marketable byproducts.

techniques to address sequestration via chemical transformations (Chap. 7). Any viable sequestration technique must store vast amounts of carbon-rich materials, so environmental chemistry will be valuable to determine whether these materials will be stable when sequestered. Many issues pertaining to aqueous carbonate/bicarbonate chemistry are relevant to sequestration of carbon in oceans, geological formations, and groundwater. Carbonate chemistry in very basic solutions may lead to a method for extracting CO₂ from air. Clathrates, compounds that can enclose molecules such as CO₂ within their crystal structure, may be used to separate CO₂ from high-pressure systems. Learning clathrate properties may be important to understanding chemical approaches to ocean storage of carbon, and subsurface arctic and marine hydrate formations may also be evaluated as geologic sequestration options.

- The proper focus of R&D into advanced chemical sciences and technologies is on transforming gaseous CO₂ or its constituent carbon into materials that either are benign, inert, long-lived and contained in the earth or water of our planet, or have commercial value.
 - Benign by-products for sequestration should be developed. This avenue may offer the potential to sequester large (gigatonne) amounts of anthropogenic carbon.
 - Commercial products need to be developed. This approach probably represents a lesser potential (millions of tonnes) but may result in collateral benefits.
- The chemical sciences can fill crucial gaps identified in the other focus areas. In particular, environmental chemistry is an essential link in determining the impact and consequences of these various approaches. Studies to address the specific gaps identified in Chap. 7 should be conducted to ensure that other focus areas meet their potential.

DEVELOPING A CARBON SEQUESTRATION ROAD MAP

An emerging science and technology road map seeks to identify the scientific and technological developments needed to achieve a specific policy goal. The process of identifying the needed science and technology must be focused by developing a concept of the technological system (Chap. 8). This task is particularly difficult in the case of carbon capture and sequestration because the understanding necessary to design such a system is still immature.

Today, carbon is emitted to the atmosphere from many sources that were not designed to capture, let alone sequester, these emissions. There are many ideas for, and even demonstrations of, technology to capture and sequester carbon from fossil fuel combustion. Many of the requisite new energy production technologies are already under development at DOE. However, the current energy system probably must be modified significantly to make an economical capture and sequestration system possible. Thus, the emerging technology road map for carbon capture and sequestration cannot be constructed apart from consideration of current and emerging energy technologies. It will involve an iterative process to connect this road map with others being developed by DOE for various parts of the energy technology system.

This report is a significant first step toward the development of an emerging technology road map for carbon capture and sequestration. We start from a bold vision of having the scientific and technical knowledge to make carbon sequestration a major carbon management option by 2025. Guided by this vision, each of the technical focus chapters (2–7) identifies key areas for scientific and technical development, including new areas outside traditional energy technology development.

We have begun the process of exploring the mutual relationships and interdependencies of the scientific and technological developments in all these fields by building a series of road map linkages. This process has illuminated how progress in one area affects the total system. However, R&D priorities and performance requirements have not yet been defined. Nor has the phasing of potential R&D schedules been considered. Developing linkages has allowed us to eliminate overlaps to some extent, but gaps in the technology needs have not yet been examined. Before proceeding much further, much more work must be done on specifying the economic constraints and technology needs of the integrated carbon capture and sequestration system. The road map outline presented in this document, especially the research needs delineated in Chaps. 2–7, provides the sound basis for taking these next steps toward a fully realized program in carbon sequestration. This report should be used as a framework in organizing a wider examination by diverse stakeholders of the science and technology required for carbon capture and sequestration.